

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
October 30, 1980


IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO RULES) R80-
203.1 and 408(a) OF THE)
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL REGU-)
LATIONS (Pfizer).)

ORDER OF THE BOARD (by D. Satchell):

On September 22, 1980 Pfizer, Inc. filed a petition for amendment to Rule 203.1 of Chapter 3: Water Pollution. The Board has by resolution adopted a policy concerning form of site specific regulations. Pfizer is requested to modify its proposal to conform with this resolution, copies of which are available from the Clerk. The Board will consider whether to authorize hearings after receipt of an amended proposal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, Christan L. Moffett, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Order was adopted on the 30th day of October, 1980 by a vote of 50.



Christan L. Moffett, Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control Board

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
October 30, 1980


IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
THE PROPOSAL FOR AMENDMENT OF)
WATER POLLUTION REGULATIONS,)
PART II: WATER QUALITY STAN-) R80-
DARDS, 203(f) TO ACCOMPLISH)
A SITE SPECIFIC REGULATORY)
CHANGE BY ADDING A SUBPARA-)
GRAPH (c) TO RULE "203.1 EX-)
CEPTIONS TO RULE 203." (Olin))

ORDER OF THE BOARD (by D. Satchell):

On September 24, 1980 Olin Corporation (Olin) filed a petition for amendment to Rule 203.1 of Chapter 3: Water Pollution. The Board has by resolution adopted a policy concerning form of site specific regulations. Olin is requested to modify its proposal to conform with this resolution, copies of which are available from the Clerk. The Board will consider whether to authorize hearings after receipt of an amended proposal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, Christan L. Moffett, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Order was adopted on the 30th day of October, 1980 by a vote of 5-0.



Christan L. Moffett, Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control Board

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
October 30, 1980

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
THE PETITION OF THE VILLAGE)
OF SAUGET AND THE CITY OF EAST) R80-
ST. LOUIS RE: RULE 602 OF)
CHAPTER 3.)

ORDER OF THE BOARD (by D. Satchell):

On September 30, 1980 the Village of Sauget and the City of East St. Louis filed a petition for amendment to Rule 602 of Chapter 3: Water Pollution. The petition contains two alternative proposals. The second is complete exemption of the East St. Louis sewer discharge from Chapter 3 except for Part II. This would exempt the discharge from the NPDES permit requirement and procedural rules of Part IX, Subpart A. No statement of reasons for this appears in the petition as required by Procedural Rule 203. In addition, the proposal, if adopted, would bring into question the applicability of the following: Part I, including Rule 104, definitions; Part V, monitoring and reporting; Part VI, including Rule 604, restricted status; Part IX, Subpart B, other permits; and Part XII, treatment plant operator certification. The proposal contains no statement of reasons for exempting East St. Louis from these provisions. The proposal could be construed as not intending exemption from these provisions; however, the Board will insist that the language be clarified, or reasons given, prior to authorizing hearings.

Alternate 1 proposes only exemption from subparts of Rule 602: Combined Sewers and Treatment Plant Bypasses and exemption from Part IV: Effluent Standards. The petition includes a discussion of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS), but no discussion of the other parameters regulated under Part IV. The proposal contains no alternative effluent standards.

In addition to effluent standards, Part IV contains other provisions. Rule 402 provides that no effluent shall cause water quality violations. Exemption from this rule contradicts the provision that the discharge is not to be exempt from Part II: Water Quality Standards.

The Board has recently adopted a policy against authorizing hearings on proposals which seek site specific regulations by way of addition to existing language through interlineation or new subsections. In the event the proponents wish to file an amendment

addressing the noted deficiencies, it should take the form of a series of separate rules for each Part of the Board's rules. These should stand alone and fully state the conditions of their applicability and their relationship to other Board rules within the Part.

Authorization for hearings is denied. This matter will be subject to dismissal unless an amended proposal addressing the noted deficiencies is filed within forty-five days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, Christan L. Moffett, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Order was adopted on the 30th day of October, 1980 by a vote of 5-0.



Christan L. Moffett, Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control Board